



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,049	07/18/2003		George M. Tuxford	0302-01	3960
7590 03/22/2004				EXAMINER	
Eric K. Satern Registered Pate			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
P. O. Box 1909	_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 92623-9099				1616	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
ĭ	10/623,049	TUXFORD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alton N. Pryor	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiromant						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
6Maahar auto							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/18/03.	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/623,049

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1,2 use the phase "comprising only". The phrase is not standard claim language. Examiner suggests that Applicant replace "comprising only" by "consisting of".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pugh et al (US 4954334; 9/4/90). Pugh teaches a powder comprising 40-60% powdered sulfur (alum), and 40-60% boric acid. Pugh teaches that the instant ratio of boric acid to sulfur is 1:1. See abstract, column 1 lines 50-56, column 3 lines 3-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/623,049

Art Unit: 1616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loewenthal et al (US 1029203; 6/11/12). Loewenthal teaches a powder comprising 1 part sulfur (25%), 1 part boric acid (25%), 2 parts powdered borax (50%). Loewenthal teaches the instant 1:1 ratio of boric acid to powdered sulfur. See columns 1-2. Loewenthal differs from the instant invention in that Loewenthal does not teach the composition comprising 40-60% boric acid and 40-60% powdered sulfur. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skin in the to determine the optimum amount of boric acid and sulfur. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective composition for controlling cockroaches.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blumenberg et al (US 1783695; 12/2/30). Blumenberg teaches a powder comprising 60% sublimed sulfur and 10-30% boric acid. See columns 1-2. Blumenberg differs from the instant invention in that Blumenberg does not teach the composition comprising 40-60% boric acid and 40-60% powdered sulfur. Blumenberg also does not teach the instant ratio of ingredients. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum amounts of boric acid and sulfur and the optimum ratio of boric acid to sulfur. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective composition for controlling pests.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,049

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Objection

Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest a method of applying the instant composition onto feet.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0621. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

AU 1616